Polymer 49 (2008) 4076–4079

Contents lists available at [ScienceDirect](www.sciencedirect.com/science/journal/00323861)

Polymer

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/polymer

Synthesis and characterization of fluorene end-labeled polymers prepared by nitroxide-mediated polymerization

ABSTRACT

Nathan D. Contrella, Eric S. Tillman*

Department of Chemistry, Bucknell University, Lewisburg, PA 17837, United States

article info

Article history: Received 29 May 2008 Received in revised form 16 July 2008 Accepted 22 July 2008 Available online 29 July 2008

Keywords: Polystyrene Nitroxide-mediated polymerization Chromophore

1. Introduction

Controlled radical polymerization techniques have become important in the synthesis of polymers bearing functional groups at the chain ends. For example, atom transfer radical polymerization (ATRP) has been used by our group $[1-4]$ and others $[5-11]$ as a means to place chromophoric groups at the ends of polymer chains by employing alkyl halide initiators containing these groups. Likewise, reverse addition fragmentation chain transfer (RAFT) has led to polymers with specific groups at the chain ends as a consequence of the thioester used to mediate the polymerization [\[12–14\].](#page-3-0)

Nitroxide-mediated polymerization (NMP) typically relies on the decomposition of a traditional radical initiator such as benzoyl peroxide to generate radicals (R_1) , initiating polymer chains that are reversibly trapped by persistent radicals such as 2,2,6,6-tetramethyl-1-piperidinyloxy (TEMPO), as shown in Scheme 1. Unimolecular initiators have also been used in NMP reactions [\[15–18\]](#page-3-0) (the free radical initiator is no longer required and homolysis of the C–O bond on the unimolecular initiator produces the initiating radicals), including limited reports of such systems being used to create end-functionalized [\[19–21\]](#page-3-0) and even fluorescently labeled polymers [\[22\].](#page-3-0) Using these systems to create labeled polymers is attractive due to the absence of metal catalysts in the reaction mixture, which are difficult to remove completely [\[23–26\]](#page-3-0) and may interfere with photophysical studies of a chromophore-labeled polymer.

- 2008 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

The fluorene-based alkoxyamine 9-(2,2,6,6-tetramethyl-4-hydroxypiperidinyloxy)fluorene was prepared and investigated as a unimolecular initiator in the nitroxide-mediated polymerization (NMP) of styrene. Reactions performed in either bulk or ethereal solvents at 125 °C generated polymers possessing low polydispersity indices (PDIs) and number average molecular weight (M_n) values close to those anticipated based on monomer-to-initiator ratios. A linear relationship between monomer conversion and $M_{\rm n}$ values was observed by analysis of reaction aliquots with 1 H NMR and gel permeation chromatography (GPC), while PDI values remained low throughout. Analysis of the polymers by UV–vis spectroscopy indicated that approximately 80% of the polymer chains were labeled with the fluorene chromophore,

while fluorescence spectroscopy was used to verify that the fluorene was polymer-bound.

2. Experimental

2.1. Materials

9-Bromofluorene (Aldrich, 97.5%); 2,2,6,6-tetramethyl-1-piperidinyloxy (TEMPO, Aldrich, 98%); copper(II) trifluoromethanesulfonate

$$
R_1 - R_1 \xrightarrow{\text{heat}} 2 R_1' + O-N \xrightarrow{R_2} \frac{k_{\text{deact}}}{k_{\text{act}}} R_1 - O-N \xrightarrow{R_2} R_3
$$

+ nM
$$
R_1, R_2, R_3 = \text{alkyl groups}
$$

Scheme 1. General reaction sequence of nitroxide-mediated polymerization (NMP).

The incorporation of aromatic chromophores into polymer chains can potentially lead to materials with interesting photophysical or electronic properties. For example, fluorene units comprise the conjugated backbone of polyfluorenes, which have found utility as light emitting diodes (LEDs) [\[27–30\].](#page-3-0) Polymer chains extending from the 9-position of fluorene units in polyfluorenes may alleviate interchain interactions, which are believed to be responsible for unwanted and/or shifted emissions [\[31–34\].](#page-3-0) In this contribution, we report the synthesis of fluorene end-labeled polymers using NMP, along with characterization of the polymeric products and analysis of the reaction itself.

^{*} Corresponding author. Tel.: $+1$ 5705773265; fax: $+1$ 5705771739. E-mail address: etillman@bucknell.edu (E.S. Tillman).

^{0032-3861/\$ –} see front matter © 2008 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved. doi:10.1016/j.polymer.2008.07.038

(Cu(OTf)₂, Aldrich, 98%); 4,4'-di-tert-butyl-2,2'-dipyridyl (dTbpy, Aldrich, 98%); tetrahydrofuran (THF, Burdick and Jackson HPLC/UV grade); and chloroform-d (CDCl3, Acros, 99.8 atom%) were used without further purification. Styrene (Aldrich, 99%) and anisole (Aldrich, 99.7%) were distilled from calcium hydride under vacuum and stored in a sealed glass ampoule under argon at -15 $^{\circ}$ C.

2.2. Synthesis of 9-(2,2,6,6-tetramethyl-4-hydroxypiperidinyloxy)fluorene (Scheme 2, 1)

The initiator, 9-(2,2,6,6-tetramethyl-4-hydroxypiperidinyloxy) fluorene, was prepared from 9-bromofluorene using a method adopted from literature [\[17\].](#page-3-0) 9-Bromofluorene (0.907 g, 3.7 mmol), $Cu(OTf)_{2}$ (0.013 g, 0.037 mmol), TEMPO (0.69 g, 3.8 mmol), and dTbpy (0.040 g, 0.15 mmol) were dissolved in benzene (5.0 mL). The solution was degassed under vacuum by four freeze–thaw cycles, sealed from the vacuum line, and placed in an oil bath at 75 \degree C with stirring for 24 h. Excess TEMPO was removed by eluting the product solution through an alumina column in hexanes. Removal of the solvent yielded the product as a nearly colorless oil (60–75% yield), which was found to solidify when cooled in a freezer. The resulting crystals were found to have a melting point of $67-69$ °C. The structure of the product was verified by 1 H NMR: δ 7.9 (d, Ar–H), 7.7 (m, Ar–H), 7.4 (m, Ar–H), 7.3 (m, Ar–H), 5.9 (s, R2CH(OR')), 1.6 (m, $CR₂H₂$), 1.2 (s, TEMPO methyls), 1.0 (s, TEMPO methyls).

2.3. NMP of styrene (100:1 monomer-to-initiator ratio)

In a typical nitroxide-mediated polymerization, styrene (4.0 mL, 34.9 mmol) and 9-(2,2,6,6-tetramethyl-4-hydroxypiperidinyloxy) fluorene (0.112 g, 0.349 mmol), synthesized as described above, were added with a stir bar to a flamed reaction vessel and attached to a vacuum line. If used, anisole (0.25 mL) was also added at this time. The solution was degassed by four freeze–thaw cycles and then placed in a 125 \degree C oil bath. These conditions were maintained for the duration of the reaction, after which the mixture was typically sufficiently viscous to prevent rotation of the stir bar. The product was then dissolved in THF and precipitated in cold methanol as a bright white polymer. Reactions were typically allowed to proceed to approximately 50% conversions. The polymers were purified by redissolution in THF followed by reprecipitation in cold methanol; this was found to effectively remove low molecular weight, UV-absorbing impurities (Fig. 1).

2.4. Characterization

The number average molecular weights $(M_n s)$ and polydispersity indices (PDIs) were measured by gel permeation chromatography. The system consisted of a Waters 1515 isocratic pump, a Waters 717

Scheme 2. Reaction scheme for the NMP of styrene using 9-(2,2,6,6-tetramethyl-4hydroxypiperidinyloxy)fluorene (1) as the unimolecular initiator, leading to a fluorene end-labeled polystyrene (2).

Fig. 1. GPC traces of polystyrene formed by NMP using 1 as the initiator ([Table 1,](#page-2-0) entry 2). Solid line: normalized RI trace; dashed line: normalized UV trace (305 nm).

autosampler, two Pl-gel 5 mm Mixed C columns (Polymer Labs), a Waters 2487 dual wavelength detector, and a Waters 2414 refractive index (RI) with THF as the mobile phase. The instrument was interfaced with a personal computer running the Waters Breeze software. A 10-point calibration using polystyrene standards (Polymer Laboratories, $M_{\rm p}$ range: 5.0 \times 10²–3.05 \times 10⁶ Da) was used to obtain molecular weights and polydispersities.

To determine the degree of fluorene labeling, polystyrene, synthesized and washed as above and then collected by vacuum filtration, was dried to a constant mass using a vacuum oven. The number average molecular weight was determined by GPC and used to prepare solutions with concentrations of 0.15 mM in THF. Absorbance spectra were acquired on Gentech TU-1901 dual-beam UV–Vis spectrometer, and fluorescence spectra were obtained using a system consisting of a Horiba Jobin Yvon Fluorolog controlled by a SpectraCQ computer and interfaced with a personal computer running Datamax 2.2 software. Samples were excited at 305 nm, and THF was again used as the solvent.

In cases where the reaction progress was monitored, $250 \mu L$ aliquots were removed from the reaction mixture at various time intervals and added to 0.75 mL portions of chloroform-d. ¹H NMR spectra were obtained on a Varian 400 MHz NMR (25 \degree C, 16 scans per sample) and processed using the VNMRJ software. Monomer conversion was determined by comparing the area of the vinylic β protons at 5.4 ppm and 5.9 ppm (solely due to monomeric styrene) to the entire aromatic region (due to both monomeric and polymeric styrene). The aromatic region contains phenylic monomeric protons and vinylic α -protons from the monomeric styrene (the vinylic α protons are included in the integration of the aromatic region because as the polymerization proceeds, these protons overlap with the aromatic region of polystyrene). The area of the aromatic region due to the monomeric protons (both vinylic and phenylic) was calculated based on the average area of the vinylic β -protons (at 5.0 ppm and 5.7 ppm), and was expressed as a percentage of the overall aromatic region. At each reaction time, this calculation was repeated and compared to the initial percentage at monomer conversion $= 0\%$, allowing for calculation of monomer conversion at each reaction time. From these conversion values, $[M]_t$ could be calculated, taking into account the decrease in reaction volume for each calculation as styrene is converted into polymer.

3. Results and discussion

As mechanistically illustrated in Scheme 2, a series of NMP reactions of styrene employing the fluorene-based alkoxyamine,

Table 1

Reactions were run in bulk styrene at 125 ° C for approximately 48 h, unless indicated differently.

^a Initial ratio of styrene to 9-(2,2,6,6-tetramethyl-4-hydroxypiperidinyloxy)fluorene.

^b Apparent number average molecular weight compared to PS standards, as determined by GPC.

^c Percent of polymer chains labeled with fluorene, as determined by UV–vis spectroscopy.

^d Reactions were run using anisole (12.5% total volume). e Reaction was run at 100 \degree C, and no polymer was formed.

9-(2,2,6,6-tetramethyl-4-hydroxypiperidinyloxy)fluorene (1) were performed. Homolysis of the C–O bond on 1 is expected to generate initiating fluorene radicals, which, after propagation, will lead to fluorene-labeled polystyrene (2). When carried out in bulk styrene or with added anisole (12.5% by volume) at 125 \degree C, the polystyrene produced was found to possess low PDI values (typically less than 1.20) and M_n values close to those expected based on monomer-toinitiator ratios and yields (Table 1, entries 1–7). The consistently low PDI values (ranging from 1.13 to 1.20) are indicative of a controlled radical polymerization. Typical GPC traces (both RI and UV at 305 nm, an absorbance maximum for fluorene) of the polymers are shown in [Fig. 1,](#page-1-0) with the GPC–UV trace indicating that the polymeric species at least qualitatively contains the fluorene chromophore [\(Scheme 2](#page-1-0), 2); this trace also indicates that after washing, the polymer contains no detectable UV-absorbing impurities.

In order to further verify the controlled nature of the polymerization, M_n values, PDI values (both determined by GPC), and monomer conversions (calculated from ¹H NMR spectra) were monitored over the course of a typical polymerization. As Fig. 2 indicates, the molecular weight values were found to increase linearly ($R^2 > 0.99$) with percent monomer conversion, while PDI values remained low $\left($ < 1.20) throughout the course of the reaction, exhibiting a slightly decreasing trend. The experimentally

Fig. 2. Number average molecular weight (M_n) and PDI (M_w/M_n) vs. % monomer conversion for the NMP of styrene using 1 as the initiator at 125 °C. M_n and PDI values were determined by GPC; % monomer conversions are calculated from ¹H NMR. Dotted line represents theoretical M_n values based on monomer-to-initiator ratio. $[M][I] = 100:1$; $[M] = 8.72 M$; $[I] = 8.75 \times 10^{-2} M$.

Fig. 3. First order kinetic plot for the NMP of styrene using 1 as the initiator at 125 \degree C. $[M]/[1] = 100$. $[M] = 8.72$ M; $[I] = 8.75 \times 10^{-2}$ M.

determined M_n values (\blacksquare), were found to match closely with the theoretical values (dashed line), calculated by monomer-to-initiator ratios at various conversions. A kinetic plot (Fig. 3), displaying $ln([M]_0/[M]_t)$ as a function of reaction time, indicates that the NMP of styrene proceeded with first order with respect to monomer concentration ($R^2 > 0.99$). The lack of an observed induction period implies rapid establishment of the $k_{\text{act}}/k_{\text{deact}}$ equilibrium ([Scheme 2](#page-1-0)).

GPC–UV detection at 305 nm is consistent with the polymeric product containing the fluorene chromophore, as polystyrene itself does not absorb at this wavelength [\[35\].](#page-3-0) To quantify the extent of fluorene labeling, UV–vis spectra were obtained for THF solutions of the isolated, purified polymer. As shown in Fig. 4 (solid line), the absorbance trace for the polymer [\(Scheme 2](#page-1-0), 2) displays a maximum near 305 nm, which is consistent with fluorenelabeled polystyrene [\[1\]](#page-3-0). Using the Beer–Lambert law and approximating the extinction coefficient of the polymer-bound fluorene to be equal to that of fluorene itself [\[36\],](#page-3-0) the percentage of polymer chains containing the fluorene chromophore can be accurately calculated (Table 1). High but not quantitative labeling was consistently observed in each case (labeling ranged from approximately 70% to 90%).

Also shown in Fig. 4 (dashed line) is the UV–vis trace of the fluorene-based initiator (1), which shows an absorbance shoulder near 305 nm, approximately the same wavelength as the local maxima of the fluorene-labeled polystyrene. To unambiguously verify that the polymeric product contains the fluorene species

Fig. 4. UV–vis absorbance spectra of 1 (1.38 \times 10⁻⁴ M) and fluorene-labeled polymer $(1.50 \times 10^{-5} \text{ M}; \text{Table 1, entry 1}).$

Fig. 5. Fluorescence spectra of 1 (1.38 $\times 10^{-4}$ M) and fluorene-labeled polymer $(1.50 \times 10^{-4} \text{ M}; \text{Table 1, entry 1}).$ $(1.50 \times 10^{-4} \text{ M}; \text{Table 1, entry 1}).$ $(1.50 \times 10^{-4} \text{ M}; \text{Table 1, entry 1}).$

([Scheme 2,](#page-1-0) 2) rather than simply being unlabeled polystyrene with embedded initiator (1), fluorescence spectra were obtained for both the polymer product and the unimolecular initiator, 1 (Fig. 5). When irradiated at 305 nm, THF solutions of the polymer 2 (prepared and purified as previously described) and THF solutions of the free initiator 1 produced drastically different fluorescence spectra. This is most likely due to differences in substitution at the 9-position of the fluorene, providing strong evidence that the fluorene species is indeed covalently bound to the polystyrene.

Because a portion of polymer chains was not labeled with the fluorene chromophore, an initiating pathway in addition to that shown in [Scheme 2](#page-1-0) must be occurring. This is likely due to thermal initiation of styrene during the reaction, leading to polystyrene chains lacking the fluorene end group. The presence of TEMPO in the reaction mixture mediates the growth of propagating polystyrene radicals regardless of the initiating mechanism, thus the overall growth of the polymer chains proceeds in a controlled fashion. Attempts to eliminate or reduce chains originating from thermal initiation by lowering reaction temperatures were unsuccessful ([Table 1,](#page-2-0) entry 9), as the reduced temperatures were insufficient to maintain the essential equilibrium between dormant and active radicals, and polymer was not produced.

4. Conclusion

High amounts of fluorene end-labeled polystyrene $(\sim80\%)$ labeling) were prepared by NMP using a fluorene-based alkoxyamine (1) as a unimolecular initiator. The resulting polymers possessed low PDI values, while monitored reactions showed M_n values to be linearly related to percent monomer conversion while also being near theoretical values. The rate of the reaction was found to be of first order with respect to monomer conversion, consistent with a controlled polymerization. Due to the high reaction temperatures used, thermal initiation of styrene was speculated to be responsible for the less-than-quantitative fluorene labeling.

Acknowledgements

The authors are grateful for financial support provided by Accenture.

References

- [1] Goodman CC, Roof AC, Tillman ES, Ludwig B, Chon D, Weigley MI. J Polym Sci Part A Polym Chem 2005;43:2657.
- [2] Tillman ES, Miller DJ, Roof AC. Polym Bull 2007;58:881.
- Roof AC, Tillman ES, Malik RE, Roland AM, Miller DJ, Sarry LR. Polymer 2006;47:3325.
- [4] Ludwig B, Tillman ES. Macromol Chem Phys 2005;206:2143.
- [5] Moon B, Hoye TR, Macosko CW. Polymer 2002;43:5501.
- [6] Tong J, Zhou C, Ni S, Winnik MA. Macromolecules 2001;34:696.
- [7] Angiolini L, Benelli T, Giogini L, Salatelli E. Polymer 2005;46:2424.
- [8] Ohno K, Fujimoto K, Tsujii Y, Fukuda T. Polymer 1999;40:759.
- [9] Durmaz H, Colakoclu B, Tunca U, Hizal G. J Polym Sci Part A Polym Chem 2006;44:1667.
- [10] Zhang HQ, Klumperman B, van der Linde R. Macromolecules 2002;35:2261.
- [11] Kim CS, Oh SM, Kim S, Cho CG. Macromol Rapid Commun 1998;19:191.
- [12] Zhang Y, Cheng Z, Chen X, Zhang W, Wu J, Zhu J, et al. Macromolecules 2007;40:4809.
- [13] Mori H, Nakano S, Endo T. Macromolecules 2005;38:8192.
- [14] Donovan MS, Lowe AB, Sumerlin BS, McCormick CL. Macromolecules 2002;35:4123.
- [15] Hawker CJ, Barclay GC, Orellana A, Dao J, Devonport W. Macromolecules 1996;29:5245.
- [16] Cunningham MF. C R Chim 2003;6:1351.
- [17] Matyjaszewski K, Woodworth BE, Zhang X, Gaynor SG, Metzner Z. Macromolecules 1998;31:5955.
- [18] Benoit D, Chaplinski V, Braslaw R, Hawker CJ. J Am Chem Soc 1999;121:3904.
- [19] O'Bryan G, Nilsen A, Braslau R. Macromolecules 2007;40:7848.
- [20] Hill NL, Braslau R. J Polym Sci Part A Polym Chem 2007;45:2341.
- [21] Nicolay R, Marx L, Hemery P, Matyjaszewski K. Macromolecules 2007;40:6067.
- [22] Tsimelzon A, Deamer D, Braslau R. Macromol Rapid Commun 2005;26:1872.
- [23] Honigfort ME, Brittain WJ, Bosanac T, Wilcox CS. Macromolecules 2002;35:4849.
- [24] Tsarevsky NV, Matyjaszewski K. Chem Rev 2007;107:2270.
- [25] Faucher S, Okrutny P, Zhu S. Macromolecules 2006;39:3.
- [26] Honigfort ME, Brittain WJ. Macromolecules 2003;36:3111.
- [27] Pei Q, Yang Y. J Am Chem Soc 1996;118:7416.
- [28] Jin S, Kim M, Koo D, Kim Y. Chem Mater 2004;16:3299.
- [29] Setayesh S, Grimsdale AC, Weil T, Enkelmann V, Müllen K, Meghdadi F, et al. J Am Chem Soc 2001;123:946.
- [30] Yang C, Liao H, Horng S, Meng H, Tseng S, Hsu C. Synth Met 2008;158:25.
- [31] Kulkarni AP, Kong X, Jenekhe SA. J Phys Chem B 2004;108:8689.
- [32] Satrijo A, Kooi SE, Swager TM. Macromolecules 2007;40:8833.
- [33] Chen X, Tseng H, Liao J, Chen S. J Phys Chem B 2005;109:17496.
- [34] Grell M, Bradley DDC, Ungar G, Hill J, Whitehead KS. Macromolecules 1999;32:5810.
- [35] Li T, Zhou C, Jiang M. Polym Bull 1991;25:211.
- [36] $\varepsilon = 7800 \text{ cm}^{-1} \text{ M}^{-1}$ for fluorene in THF solution at the absorbance maximum near 305 nm. The extinction coefficient of polymer-bound fluorene is approximated to be identical to this value.